# Simplificação Terapêutica em Idosos Internados numa Enfermaria de Medicina Interna: Aplicação dos Critérios STOPP/START

Improving Elderly Patients' Medication Appropriateness in an Internal Medicine Ward: Application of the STOPP/START Criteria

Miguel Oliva Teles<sup>1</sup> (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0862-6345), Margarida R. Fonseca<sup>1</sup> (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9066-2830), Ana Gomes Parola<sup>2</sup> (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8588-820X)

## Resumo:

Introdução: A polifarmácia e a medicação inadequada são prevalentes e contribuem para eventos adversos na população idosa. Os critérios STOPP/START são uma ferramenta que tem como objetivo contribuir para a maior adequação medicamentosa neste grupo da população.

O objetivo foi avaliar o impacto da aplicação dos critérios STOPP/START versão 2 à medicação de uma população idosa hospitalizada.

Métodos: Estudo prospetivo realizado numa enfermaria de medicina interna de um hospital terciário. Doentes internados com mais de 65 anos e medicados habitualmente com 5 ou mais medicamentos foram aleatorizados para receber cuidados médicos e farmacêuticos habituais (controlo) ou para o envio de uma recomendação à sua equipa clínica assistente, nas primeiras 72 horas de internamento, indicando medicações potencialmente inapropriadas (MPI) e medicações potencialmente omissas (MPO), como resultado da aplicação dos critérios STOPP/START versão 2 (intervenção). Análise estatística realizada com o SPSS versão 23, considerando um p < 0,05.

**Resultados:** Incluídos 156 pacientes e analisados 64 na intervenção e 62 no controlo. Redução média de MPI e MPO de 49% e 31% no braço da intervenção *versus* 13% e 0% no controlo (p = 0,01). Aceitação média de 63% das recomendações pelos critérios STOPP e de 40% pelos START. Prevalência de polifarmácia na população total de 21% e de prescrição inadequada (pelo menos um MPI) de 75%. Identificados, no total, 230 MPI e 152 MPO.

**Conclusão:** Reportada uma alta prevalência de polifarmácia e prescrição inadequada numa população idosa internada num serviço de medicina interna, tendo esta última sido significativamente diminuída pela aplicação dos critérios STOPP/START versão 2 durante as primeiras 72 horas de admissão.

https://revista.spmi.pt - DOI: 10.24950/0/290/19/2/2020

Palavras-chave: Efeitos Colaterais e Reações Adversas Relacionados a Medicamentos; Idoso; Hospitalização; Polifarmácia; Prescrição Inadequada.

## Abstract:

Introduction: In elderly people, polypharmacy and inappropriate prescribing are prevalent and associated with adverse events. STOPP/START criteria are a tool aiming to improve elderly medication appropriateness that has shown good validity, inter-rater reliability and applicability.

Our aim was to evaluate the impact of the application of STOPP/START criteria version 2 to the prescription of hospitalized elderly patients.

Methods: A prospective, single-centre study carried out in a tertiary internal medicine ward. Patients admitted with 65 years or more and with 5 or more medications were randomized to receive either usual physician and pharmacist care (control) or providing the patient's attending medical team, within the first 72 hours, a pop-up recommendation indicating potentially inappropriate medications (PIM) and potentially prescribing omissions (PPO) as a result of the application of the STOPP/START version 2 criteria (intervention). Statistical analysis done with SPSS version 23 considered a p < 0.05.

**Results:** 156 patients were included and randomized, and 64 on the intervention group and 62 on the control group were analysed. The team observed an average PIM and PPO reduction of 49% and 31% in the intervention group versus 13% and 0% in the control group (p = 0.01). There was an average acceptance of 63% for STOPP and 40% for START criteria recommendations. In the overall population the team found a prevalence of polypharmacy of 21% and of inappropriate prescription (at least one PIM) of 75.4% and identified a total of 230 PIM and 153 PPO.

**Conclusion:** The team reports a high prevalence of polypharmacy and inappropriate prescription among Portuguese elderly patients admitted to an internal medicine ward. The latter was significantly reduced using pop-up recommendations reporting the application of STOPP/START version 2 criteria within 72 hours of admission.

Keywords: Aged; Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Serviço de Medicina I, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Hospital Egas Moniz, Lisboa, Portugal <sup>2</sup>Serviços Farmacêuticos, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Hospital Egas Moniz, Lisboa, Portugal

Reactions; Hospitalization; Inappropriate Prescribing; Polypharmacy.

## Introduction

In elderly people, polypharmacy and potentially inadequate prescriptions are prevalent and associated with a higher risk of falls, adverse reactions, hospital admissions and death.<sup>1,2</sup> With this considered, minimizing these risks becomes an urgent imperative when caring for these patients.<sup>3</sup> Appropriate prescribing might be considered the use of drugs with strong evidence of benefit together with the avoidance of medications with questionable or no evidence of efficacy, unfavourable risk-benefit ratio or usage, despite the patient's life expectancy, level of functioning, goals, and personal values or preferences.<sup>3,4</sup> Many strategies and tools have been developed to assess this appropriateness<sup>1</sup> and, despite being limited, the scientific evidence available so far suggests them to be safe and to produce more benefits than harms.<sup>1,5-7</sup> STOPP/START criteria version 2 is one of these tools aiming to improve elderly medication appropriateness. It combines a deprescribing method, by identifying potential inappropriate medications (PIM), with the identification of potential prescribing omissions (PPO). It consists of 80 STOPP and 34 START criteria, grouped according to physiological systems (e.g. cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, respiratory, etc.) to allow for easy and rapid medication reviews. STOPP consists of 80 indicators for potentially inappropriate medications, meaning medications commonly causing drug-drug and drug-disease interactions, unnecessary therapeutic duplication, and increased risks of cognitive decline and falls in older people. START is comprised of 34 criteria identifying under-prescribed medications that should be considered. The tool has been validated and demonstrated good interrater-reliability and applicability in the United Kingdom and Europe.8

Aim of the Study: This study's aim was to evaluate the impact of the application of STOPP/START version 2 criteria to the prescription of elderly patients admitted to a Tertiary Hospital's Internal Medicine Ward.

### Methods

Study design and population: The study was a prospective, single-centre study conducted in an internal medicine ward of Hospital Egas Moniz (Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental), a state-funded, tertiary hospital in Lisbon, Portugal. During an 8-month period, all patients aged 65 or older and with 5 or more prescribed medications were included. The following drop-out criteria were admitted: admissions shorter than 72 hours and death during admission. The study's protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Baseline data collection: All included patient's information was obtained from the hospital's and primary care electronic medical records and from interviews with the patient and/or relatives. On admission, baseline data regarding demographic details, functional status (Katz Index of Independence in Activities of Daily Living) and previous medications (number of drugs, dose, frequency and duration) were collected.

Randomization: All included patients were randomly assigned at a 1:1 ratio to the intervention and control group through simple randomization.

Intervention: Within the first 72 houres of admission the team of investigators (5 physicians and 1 hospital pharmacist) applied the STOPP/START version 2 criteria to the baseline data of patients in the intervention group, identifying potentially inappropriate medications (PIM) and potential prescribing omissions (PPO). Interventional recommendations were sent within the same day of the application of the criteria to the patient's attending team through a pop-up message on the in-hospital prescription software and consisted of simple statements informing the team about the patient's specific PIM and PPO. The attending team judged these and decided whether to or not to follow and implement the recommended changes.

Participants in the control group received usual hospital physician and pharmacist care.

Outcome measures: The primary outcomes were the rate of difference in the number of PIM and PPO between admission and discharge and the rate of recommendations' acceptance (calculated as the ratio between the number of changed PIM and PPO according to the recommendations and the total identified at admission).

Collection of outcome data: At discharge, the research team collected data on all patient's medication and applied the STOPP/START version 2 criteria to both group's discharge medication list.

Data analysis: All statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS Statistics version 23. Patient characteristics were described as number, percentage and mean, as appropriate. Independent t-test was used to examine the continuous variables while contingency tables and chi-square tests were used to evaluate the categorical variables (assumptions of their applicability were tested and confirmed). Analyses were two-sided and the statistical significance level was set at  $\alpha$  0.05 with a 95% confidence interval; a *p*-value of 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### **Results**

Baseline characteristics: The research team randomized 156 eligible patients and thirty patients were lost to follow-up due to death during admission, resulting in 126 included in the final statistical analysis (Fig. 1). The baseline characteristics (Table 1) did not differ between the control and intervention groups nor between the analysed patients and those who died ( $\rho > 0.05$ ).



Figure 1: Methods Fluxogram.

	Intervention	Control
Ν	64 (51%)	62 (49%)
Male (n, %)	32 (50%)	28 (50%)
Female (n, %)	32 (50%)	34 (55%)
Age (av.)	83	81
Katz index (av.)	4	3
No. of medication (av.)	9	9
No. of pills (av.)	11	10

**Primary Outcomes:** At the time of discharge, comparing the differences from admission to discharge between the intervention and control groups, the team observed an average PIM reduction of 49% [0.30, 0.69] on the intervention group and 13% [0.03, 0.24] on the control group (p < 0.01). Regarding PPO they observed a 31% [0.16, 0.46] reduction in the intervention group, whereas in the control group there was no reduction (p = 0.01). The frequency of PIM and PPO on each group at times of admission and discharge is represented in Fig. 2.

Individually, the team saw an average reduction of 1 PIM [0.45, 1.14] per patient on the intervention group, which was not observed in the control group (p = 0.06). The average reduction of PPO was lower than 1 in both groups.

There was an average STOPP criteria acceptance of 63% [0.50, 0.75] (meaning that among the total of PIM criteria identified 63% were changed according to the recommendations) and an average START criteria acceptance of 40% [0.27, 0.59]. As depicted in Fig. 3 the most accepted STOPP criteria groups were those from the renal system (E), musculoskeletal system (H), and cardiovascular system (B). Among the renal system criteria, the drugs withdrawn were drugs not recommended in the presence of a lower glomerular filtration rate, as was the case of digoxin, metformin or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Regarding the musculoskeletal system criteria, it corresponded to the withdrawing of NSAIDs in the presence of arterial hypertension. Within the cardiovascular system criteria, the drugs discontinued were related either to the absence of evidence-based recommendation (as the use of digoxin in heart failure with preserved left ventricular function, amiodarone as first-line anti-arrhythmic for supraventricular arrhythmia and loop diuretics for ankle oedema without heart failure) or to the risk of adverse effects (as the concomitant use of beta-blockers and verapamil or diltiazem, aldosterone and other potassium-conserving drugs without proper monitoring and the use of thiazides with known risk of hydroelectrolytic disturbances).

Regarding the START criteria, as represented in Fig. 4, the most accepted groups were the *analgesics* (H), *respiratory system* (C) and *urogenital system* (G). Among the first group it concerned the initiation of opioids for moderate-to-severe pain as well as the initiation of laxatives for those already using them; among the respiratory system criteria the initiation of home continuous oxygen and regular bronchodilator for mild to moderate asthma or COPD were the recommendations accepted and applied; and regarding the urogenital system it was concerned with the initiation of 5-alpha reductase inhibitor for prostatism.



Figure 2: Acceptance rates of STOPP recommendations (by groups).







Figure 4: Acceptance rates of START recommendations (by groups).

Secondary Outcomes: The prevalence of polypharmacy on our total population was 21% (out of 760 patients over 65 years old, 156 had 5 or more medications).

The prevalence of inappropriate prescription (the presence of at least one PIM) at the time of admission was 75.4% in the overall population, 38.1% in the intervention group and 37.3% in the control group. At the time of discharge, in the intervention group there was a reduction of this prevalence in 13.5% (from), compared with 2% in the control group (p < 0.01).

There was no reduction of the number of medications (and subsequently no changes in the prevalence of polypharmacy) between admission and discharge on neither of the study groups.

The research team identified 230 potentially inappropriate medications (PIM) and 153 potential prescribing omissions (PPO) after applying the STOPP/START version 2 criteria to the time of admission's medication list from both study groups (Table 2). Each patient had on average two PIM and two PPO. More than half of the patients (53%) had two or more PIM and 36% of them had 2 or more PPO. The minimum was no PIM or PPO found and the maximum was 6 PIM or PPO per patient. Less than 10% of the population (10 patients) had both no STOPP nor START criteria identified.

The most common PIM criteria groups identified were "any drug prescribed without an evidence-based clinical indication"

(30%), "central nervous system and psychotropic drugs" (20%) and "drugs that predictably increase the risk of falls in older people" (20%). The most common PPO criteria groups were "vaccines" (41%), "endocrine system" (24%) and "cardiovas-cular system" (21%).

Discussion: We found a high prevalence of polypharmacy in our randomized sample (21%), which is consistent with the international data concerning the problem of polypharmacy among elderly patients. Despite differences in the methodology and distinct definitions of polypharmacy and old age, this is reported as a global issue - 16.3% in a Scottish study, 11% in a Swedish primary care population, 15% in a nation-wide USA database, 6% in a rural Chinese population, 33% in elderly Brazilians and 86.4% of Korean elders.<sup>9-14</sup> As noted by a recent report from SYMPATHY - an EU-funded consortium dedicated to innovating the management of polypharmacy among European elders - studies in the Portuguese population are scarce and limited to a few hospital services and nursing homes.<sup>15</sup> Considering the direct association between polypharmacy and medication appropriateness, in ours and others' opinion, the best way to tackle the problem of overmedication in the elderly is to unite simplification or deprescribing methods with ones that aim to improve medication appropriateness.<sup>16-18</sup>

Hence, the aim of this study was to evaluate the results of applying the STOPP/START version 2 criteria in a systematic

**Table 2:** Frequency of PIM and PPO in the intervention and control groups (at time of admission and discharge) and rate of acceptance (%) (when applied) of the recommendations given in the intervention group.

StOPP critical interactionNational interactionNational interactionNational interactionNational interactionNational interactionA. Any origination drived without an evidence-based diricel indication1110.92.9%101A. Any drip genescripton e.g. two concurrent NSADDs, SSRbs, loop durinds, diricel drived indication with the term2100.0%0.000.00B. Bebooker in controlled on the term100.0%0.00.00 <t< th=""><th colspan="2" rowspan="2">STOPP criteria</th><th colspan="3">Intervention</th><th colspan="3">Control</th></t<>	STOPP criteria		Intervention			Control		
A1. Any drug prescribed without an evidence-based clinical indication         21         11         4.55         2.4         11           A2. Any drug prescribed beyond the economented duration, where treatment duration is well         7         6         29.6         112         11           A3. Any during the crypt class prescriben e.g. two concurrent NSADs, SSFes, bop duration.         4         1         75.6         29.0         1           B3. Bate duration is well in conditionation and two consults of Mittanem         1         0         1005.6         0.0         -           B3. Bate duration is conditionation with verganits or diffuser         1         0         1003.6         0.0         -           B5. Loop duration for the treatment for hypertension         3         1         0.0         1003.6         0.0         -           B5. Loop duration is frait-in entraffich interprint synontranem hypertension         1         0         1003.6         0.0         -           B5. Loop duration is frait-in entraffich interprint synontranem hypertension         1         0         1003.6         0.0         -           B5. Loop duration is frait-in entraffich interprint synontranem hypertension         1         0         1003.6         0.0         -           B5. Loop duration is frait-in entraffich interprints interprint duration interprint synontr			Discharge	Acceptance	Admission	Discharge		
Q.A. prig approximate bayend the recommended duration, where treatment duration is well         7         5         2004         121           AP. Any dipplicits frug class prescription a.g. how concurrent NSAIDs, SSRIs, loop duratics,         2         1         7785         2         1           BD. Operion for heart failure with normal systolic woriticular function         1         0         00055         0         0           B3. Beach look in combination with wegam in ordinazam         11         0         00055         0.0         0           B3. Deparion for heart failure with normal systolic woriticular function         1         0         00055         0.0         0           B5. Indications of the heart failure heart with system of failure heart well failure hear	Indication of medication							
defined         1         0         2.09         12         11           A.D. my digitable in dig data prescription e.g. two concurrent NSADDs. SSRIs, loop diurdics, ACE indicesscular system         10         107         44784         88         31           Cardiovascular system         10         0         1000         0         0         0           B3. Best-loobed normal systole vertrouler function         1         0         1000         0	A1. Any drug prescribed without an evidence-based clinical indication	21	11	48%	24	19		
ACC inductions, anticologitants         Cal         Cal <thc< td=""><td></td><td>7</td><td>5</td><td>29%</td><td>12</td><td>11</td></thc<>		7	5	29%	12	11		
Cardiovascular system       Image: Construction with normal systelic ventricular function       1       0       100%       0		4	1	75%	2	1		
B1. Digxin for heart failure with normal systolic ventrioular function       1       0       100%       00	Total	32	17	47%	38	31		
B3. Beta-blocker in combination with vergamit or dilitazem         1         0         100%         0         -           B5. Anodrance as first-ine transmit of viportension         1         0         100%         0         -           B5. Lop divertis for dependent noise antiantly threit drange in syntexer ficiales. Ibiochemical evidence or read faure instance divertis or read faure divertis or read faure instance divertis or read faure divertis or read faure instance divertis or read faure divertis or read faure divertis or read faure divertis or read faure dinstance divertis or read faure divertis or read faure divertis o	Cardiovascular system							
B5. Amiodarone as first-line antiarrlythmis therapy in supraventricular tachyarrlythmia         1         0         1003         0         -           B6. Loop duretic as first-line textment for hypertension         3         1         0.95         3.0         3.0           B7. Loop duretic bridgendent tables deserms without collical, biochemical evidence of a fault deserment without physical emis, hyperatic synthout in the provide synthout emission of the synthesis and tables of the synthesis of the synthesynthes tables of the synthes the tables of the synth	B1. Digoxin for heart failure with normal systolic ventricular function	1	0	100%	0	-		
B6. Loop duretic as first-line treatment for hypertension         3         1         65%         3         3           B7. Loop duretic for dependent ankle octament without clinical, lischermical evidence or marklaune erite harst lattine, beer lattine set lat	B3. Beta-blocker in combination with verapamil or diltiazem	1	0	100%	0	-		
B7. Loop diaretic for dependent aske occlam without official, biochemical evidence of natiological evidence of the alteria failure, inspiratione of neal failure.         1         0         100%         0	B5. Amiodarone as first-line antiarrhythmic therapy in supraventricular tachyarrhythmias	1	0	100%	0	-		
radio[gical evidence of heart failure, liver failure, nephroic syndrome or real failure       1       0       100%       0       0         B3. Thisacide durbs: with curvent significant hypokalaemia, hyponatraemia hypercalcaemia       1       0       100%       0.0       0.0         B12. Aldosterone antagoniets with concurrent potassium-conserving drugs without       1       0       100%       0.0       -         C1. Long-term aspirin at does greater than 180mg per day       0       -       1       1       10       0.00%       0.00       -       1       1         C2. Appirin with a past history of paptic ider disease without concomitant PPI       0       -       1       1       1       0.00%       0.00%       0.0       -         C3. Appirin us clopidogrel, dipyridamole, vitamin K antagonisti, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa       1       1       0.0%       0.0       -       -       1       1       0.0%       0.0       -       -       -       1       1       0.0%       1.0       0.0       0.0       -	B6. Loop diuretic as first-line treatment for hypertension	3	1	66%	3	3		
or with a history of goat         Link data data data data data data data dat		1	0	100%	0	-		
monitoring of serum potassium         1         0         100%         0         1           Total         9         1         0         99%         3         3           Antiplatel/Anticoagulant Drugs         0         -         1         1         1           G1. Long-term aspirin at doese greater than 160mg per day         0         -         1         1         1           G2. Aspirin with a past history of peptic ulere disease without concomitant PPI         1         0         0         -         1         1           G3. Aspirin plus clopidogrel (ghyridanole, vitamin K antagonists, direct thrombin inhibitors or courser at settory at history of peptic ulere disease without concomitant extence convary sendore at history of peptic ulere disease without concomitant extence convary sendore at high grade symptomatic carotid atrial stanois         1         0         0         0         0           G3. Aspirin notionation with vitramin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable cononary, cerebrovascular or peripheral aterial disease         1         0         0         0         0         0         0           G1. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) with durin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable cononary, cerebrovascular or peripheral aterial disease         1         0         0         0         0         0		1	0	100%	0	-		
Antiplatelet/Anticoagulant Drugs         0         -         1         1           C1. Long-term aspirin at doses greater than 160mg per day         0         -         1         1           C2. Aspirin, with a past history of peptic ulcer disease without concomitant PPI         1         0         100%         0         -           C3. Aspirin, olgoidogred, digringhande, vitamin K antagonits, direct thrombin inhibitors or factor Xa inhibitors with concurrent significant bleeding risk.         2         0         100%         0         -           C3. Aspirin, colloidogred, digringhand bervinas 17 months or concurrent sector company syntome or has a high grade syntomic atrial distributs or cactor Xa inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial distributis or patients with thronic atrial distribution or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral archial disease         1         0         100%         2         2           C1. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agents with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral archial disease         1         0         100%         0         -           C1. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent with vitamis K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in CCA, and throma throma throma and antiplatelet agent with vitamis K antagonist direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in CCA, and throma throma throma throma throma throma conconducton abornmatities, prostation, or pror history of una		1	0	100%	0	-		
C1. Long-term aspin at dose greater than 160mg per day       0       -       1       1         C2. Aspin with a past history of peptic ulcar disease without concomitant PPI       1       0       100%       0       -         C3. Aspin of copidogref, dipyridamole, vitamin K antagonists, direct thrombin inhibitors or factor Xa inhibitors with concurrent significant bleeding risk       2       0       100%       0       -         C4. Aspin plus cipcidogref as secondary stroke prevention, unless the patient has a coronary sentifies or concurrent sentifies active contracts and hibitors or factor Xa inhibitors in pervious 12 months or concurrent sentifies active contract.       1       1       0%       0       -         C5. Aspin in in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitors in factor Xa inhibitors in conditionation.       1       0       100%       0       -         C6. Aspin in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination.       1       0       100%       0       -         C6. Aspin in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitors in combination.       1       0       100%       0       -         C6. Antiperseants (CAs) with augents, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in conduction abnomalities, portal with augents, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in conduction abnomalitis, portal	Total	9	1	89%	3	3		
C2. Appint with a past history of peptic ulcer disease without concomitant PPI10100%0-C3. Appint, clop/digande, ulpyridamde, withon (an even is publicant) bleeding risk.20100%0-C4. Appint blue clopidogref as secondary stroke prevention, unless the patient has a coronary stent(s) inserted in the previous 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome in hibitors in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation (active) the previous 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome in hibitors in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation (active) the previous 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome in hibitors in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation (active) thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral aterial disease10100%22C10. NSAID and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral aterial disease10100%0-C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophytaxis10100%0-C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophytaxis10100%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressants ant reatment10110D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent si	Antiplatelet/Anticoagulant Drugs							
C3. Aspirin, clopiddgreit, dipyridamole, vitamin K antagonists, direct thrombin inhibitors or factor Xa inhibitors with concurrent significant bleeding risk20100%0.C4. Aspirin plus clopidogreit as secondary stored prevention, unless the patient has a coronary sterifty inserted in the previous 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome or has a high risde symptomatic cavited arterial stenosis.110%0.C5. Aspirin in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa 	C1. Long-term aspirin at doses greater than 160mg per day	0	-	-	1	1		
factor Xa inhibitors with concurrent split(ant bleeding risk $2^2$ $0^2$ $100\%$ $0^2$ $1^2$ C4. Aspin plus clopidogrel as secondary stroke prevention, unless the patient has a coronary setmitig) inserted in the providus 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome or has a high grade symptomatic candid arterial stenois. $1$ $1$ $0\%$ $0^2$ $1$ C5. Aspin in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with subscience coronary syndrome $1$ $0\%$ $100\%$ $2^2$ $2^2$ C10. NSAID and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with subscience coronary syndrome $1$ $0^{0}$ $100\%$ $2^2$ $2^2$ C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis $1$ $0$ $100\%$ $0^2$ $2^2$ C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis $1$ $0^{0}$ $1^2$ $4$ $4$ Central Nervous System and Psychotropic DrugsUI: TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction anti-meruptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant typopartaremia $0$ $100\%$ $1$ $1$ D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment $1$ $0\%$ $1$ $1$ D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant typopartaremia $2$ $1$ $1$ $1$ D5. Antipsychotics (	C2. Aspirin with a past history of peptic ulcer disease without concomitant PPI	1	0	100%	0	-		
coronary sterils110%0-cr has a high grade symptomatic cardia draits stenosis110%0-CS. Aspirin in combination with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation10%11C6. Antiplatelet agents with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral arterial disease10100%22C10. NSALD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination10100%0-C11. NSALD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination10100%0-C11. NSALD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral arterial disease10100%0-C11. NSALD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial disease10100%0-C11. NSALD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial disease10100%11C11. NSALD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial disease10100%11D1. TiCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with extrement with gradients10100%111D2. Initiati		2	0	100%	0	-		
Inhibitors in patients with chronic atrial fibrillationIIIIIC6. Artiplatelet agents with vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral atrefial diseases10100%22C10. NSAID and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination10100%00C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis110100%00C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis110100%00C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis110100%00C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis10100%000C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) with ournery referitor1100000D1. TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary referitor11000%111D2. Initiation or TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%111D4. Astictorie sectorin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%111D5. Benzodiazepines for 2 4 weeks106-11111 <td>coronary stent(s) inserted in the previous 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td>	coronary stent(s) inserted in the previous 12 months or concurrent acute coronary syndrome	1	1	0%	0	-		
inhibitors in patients with stable coronary, cerebrovascular or peripheral arterial disease10100%22C10. NSAD and vitamin K antagonist, direct thrombin inhibitor or factor Xa inhibitors in combination10100%0-C11. NSAD with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis10100%0-Total8275%44Central Nervous System and Psychotropic DrugsD1. TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary retention110%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%111D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%161515D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease0-11D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with delirium or dementia011D9. Neuroleptic as hypontors, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia0-11D1. Neuroleptics as hypontors, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia0-11D1. Neuroleptics as hypontors, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia0-11 </td <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0%</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>		1	1	0%	1	1		
combination10100%0-C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis10100%0-C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis10100%0-Central Nervous System and Psychotropic DrugsTriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary retention110%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%1615D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%161511D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease0-111D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed0-111D1. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, dilitazem, verapamil110%011D1. Acetylcholinestrase inhibitors with a known history of persistent bradycardia, heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate s		1	0	100%	2	2		
Total8275%44Central Nervous System and Psychotropic DrugsD1. TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary retention110%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%10D5. Benzodiazepines for > 4 weeks10820%16151D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease0-11D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with delirium or dementia0-11D9. Neuroleptic as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed0-11D1. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia to recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltazem, verapamil10%0-D1. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-D1. Steredopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-D1. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor0-2<		1	0	100%	0	-		
Central Nervous System and Psychotropic DrugsD1. TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary referition110%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%10D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%1615D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease0-11D8. Anticholinergics/antimuscarinics in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments0-11D1. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors with a known history of persistent bradycardia, heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, ditiazem, verapamil011D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor0222	C11. NSAID with concurrent antiplatelet agent(s) without PPI prophylaxis	1	0	100%	0	-		
D1. TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) with dementia, narrow angle glaucoma, cardiac conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary retention110%11D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%10D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%1615D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease011D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with delirium or dementia0-111D9. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychological treatments have failed0-111D11. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors with a known history of persistent bradycardia, heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltizem, verapamil1011D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%022D14. First-generation antihistamines02222	Total	8	2	75%	4	4		
conduction abnormalities, prostatism, or prior history of urinary retention100.5%11D2. Initiation of TrCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment10100%11D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%10D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%1615D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease0-11D8. Anticholinergics/antimuscarinics in patients with delirium or dementia0-111D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed2150%0-11D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltiazem, verapamil110%011D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-222	Central Nervous System and Psychotropic Drugs							
D4. Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI's) with current or recent significant hyponatraemia20100%10D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%1615D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease0-11D8. Anticholinergics/antimuscarinics in patients with delirium or dementia0-11D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed2150%0-D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia block or recurrent lunexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart block or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor0222		1	1	0%	1	1		
hyponatraemia110D5. Benzodiazepines for ≥ 4 weeks10820%1615D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease011D8. Anticholinergics/antimuscarinics in patients with delirium or dementia011D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed011D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart block or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0D14. First-generation antihistamines01222	D2. Initiation of TriCyclic Antidepressants (TCAs) as first-line antidepressant treatment	1	0	100%	1	1		
D6. Antipsychotics (i.e. other than quetiapine or clozapine) in those with parkinsonism or Lewy Body Disease011D8. Anticholinergics/antimuscarinics in patients with delirium or dementia0-11D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed2150%0-D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltiazem, verapamil0-111D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-22D14. First-generation antihistamines0-0-222		2	0	100%	1	0		
Lewy Body DiseaseImage: Constraint of the	D5. Benzodiazepines for $\geq$ 4 weeks	10	8	20%	16	15		
D9. Neuroleptic antipsychotic in patients with behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed2150%0-D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia D11. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors with a known history of persistent bradycardia, heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltiazem, verapamil10%0-D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-D14. First-generation antihistamines0-22		0	-	-	1	1		
dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments have failed2150%0-D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia011D11. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors with a known history of persistent bradycardia, heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltiazem, verapamil1175%0D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0D14. First-generation antihistamines0-222		0	-	-	1	1		
D11. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors with a known history of persistent bradycardia, heart block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart rate such as beta-blockers, digoxin, diltiazem, verapamil4175%0-D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0-D14. First-generation antihistamines0-22	dementia (BPSD) unless symptoms are severe and other non-pharmacological treatments	2	1	50%	0	-		
block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart4175%0D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor110%0D14. First-generation antihistamines022	D10. Neuroleptics as hypnotics, unless sleep disorder is due to psychosis or dementia	0	-	-	1	1		
D14. First-generation antihistamines 0 - 2 2	block or recurrent unexplained syncope or concurrent treatment with drugs that reduce heart	4	1	75%	0	-		
	D13. Levodopa or dopamine agonists for benign essential tremor	1	1	0%	0	-		
Total         32         17         47%         38         31	D14. First-generation antihistamines	0	-	-	2	2		
	Total	32	17	47%	38	31		

## **ARTIGOS ORIGINAIS**

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

STOPP criteria		Intervention			Control	
		Discharge	Acceptance	Admission	Discharge	
Renal System						
E1. Digoxin at a long-term dose greater than 125µg/day if eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73m2	1	0	100%	0	-	
E4. NSAID's if eGFR < 50 mL/min/1.73m2	1	0	100%	0	-	
E6. Metformin if eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73m2	1	0	100%	0	-	
Total	3	0	100%	0	-	
Gastrointestinal System						
F2. PPI for uncomplicated peptic ulcer disease or erosive peptic oesophagitis at full therapeutic dosage for $> 8$ weeks	5	З	40%	12	12	
F3. Drugs likely to cause constipation (e.g. antimuscarinic/anticholinergic drugs, oral iron, opioids, verapamil, aluminium antacids) in patients with chronic constipation where non-constipating alternatives are available	0		-	1	1	
Total	5	3	40%	-	-	
Respiratory System						
G3. Anti-muscarinic bronchodilators with a history of narrow angle glaucoma or bladder outflow obstruction	1	1	0%	0	-	
G4. Non-selective beta-blocker (whether oral or topical for glaucoma) with a history of asthma requiring treatment	1	1	0%	0	-	
G5. Benzodiazepines with acute or chronic respiratory failure	1	1	0%	0	-	
Total	3	3	0%	0	-	
Musculoskeletal System					·	
H2. NSAID with severe hypertension or severe heart failure	1	0	100%	0	-	
Urogenital System						
11. Antimuscarinic drugs with dementia, or chronic cognitive impairment or narrow-angle, or chronic prostatism	1	1	0%	1	1	
I2. Selective alpha-1 selective alpha blockers in those with symptomatic orthostatic hypotension or micturition syncope	1	1	0%	0	-	
Total	2	2	0%	1	-	
Endocrine System					·	
J1. Sulphonylureas with a long duration of action with type 2 diabetes mellitus	6	4	33%	0	-	
J2. Thiazolidenediones in patients with heart failure	1	0	100%	0	-	
Total	7	4	43%	0	_	
Drugs that predictably increase the risk of falls in older people						
K1. Benzodiazepines	13	10	23%	19	19	
K2. Neuroleptic drugs	4	3	25%	8	6	
K4. Hypnotic Z-drugs e.g. zopiclone, zolpidem, zaleplon	1	0	100%	1	1	
Total	18	13	28%	28	26	
Analgesic Drugs						
L1. Use of oral or transdermal strong opioids as first line therapy for mild pain	1	0	100%	0		
L2. Use of regular (as distinct from PRN) opioids without concomitant laxative	2	1	50%	3	2	
L3. Long-acting opioids without short-acting opioids for break-through pain	1	0	100%	3	2	
Total	4	1	75%	6	4	
TOTAL / average of acceptance	113	58	49%	117	4 104	

 Table 2 (Cont.): Frequency of PIM and PPO in the intervention and control groups (at time of admission and discharge) and rate of acceptance (%) (when applied) of the recommendations given in the intervention group.

START criteria		Intervention			Control		
		Discharge	Acceptance	Admission	Discharge		
Cardiovascular system							
A1. Vitamin K antagonists or direct thrombin inhibitors or factor Xa inhibitors in the presence of chronic atrial fibrillation	4	3	25%	3	3		
A2. Aspirin (75 mg – 160 mg once daily) in the presence of chronic atrial fibrillation, where Vitamin K antagonists or direct thrombin inhibitors or factor Xa inhibitors are contraindicated	1	1	0%	0	-		
A3. Antiplatelet therapy (aspirin or clopidogrel or prasugrel or ticagrelor) with a documented history of coronary, cerebral or peripheral vascular disease	0	-		2	2		
A4. Antihypertensive therapy where systolic blood pressure consistently > 160 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure consistently >90 mmHg; if systolic blood pressure > 140 mmHg and /or diastolic blood pressure > 90 mmHg, if diabetic	1	1	0%	3	6		
A5. Statin therapy with a documented history of coronary, cerebral or peripheral vascular disease, unless the patient's status is end-of-life or age is > 85 years	2	0	100%	3	3		
A6. Angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor with systolic heart failure and/or documented coronary artery disease	4	1	75%	3	3		
A7. Beta-blocker with ischaemic heart disease	4	4	0%	1	4		
A8. Appropriate beta-blocker (bisoprolol, nebivolol, metoprolol or carvedilol) with stable systolic heart failure	2	1	50%	0	-		
Total	18	11	39%	15	21		
Respiratory System							
B1. Regular inhaled beta-2 agonist or antimuscarinic bronchodilator for mild to moderate asthma or COPD	2	1	50%	2	2		
B3. Home continuous oxygen with documented chronic hypoxaemia	1	0	100%	0	-		
Total	3	1	67%	2	2		
Central Nervous System & Eyes							
C1. L-DOPA or a dopamine agonist in idiopathic Parkinson's disease with functional impairment and resultant disability	1	0	100%	0	-		
C3. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (e.g. donepezil, rivastigmine, galantamine) for mild- moderate Alzheimer's dementia or Lewy Body dementia (rivastigmine)	2	2	0%	0	-		
C5. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (or SNRI or pregabalin if SSRI contraindicated) for persistent severe anxiety that interferes with independent functioning	1	1	0%	0	-		
Total	4	3	25%	0	-		
Gastrointestinal System							
D2. Fibre supplements (e.g. bran, ispaghula, methylcellulose, sterculia) for diverticulosis with a history of constipation	1	1	0%	1	1		
Musculoskeletal System							
E2. Bisphosphonates and vitamin D and calcium in patients taking long-term systemic corticosteroid therapy	2	0	100%	0	-		
E3. Vitamin D and calcium supplement in patients with known osteoporosis and/or previous fragility fracture(s) and/or (Bone Mineral Density T-scores more than -2.5 in multiple sites)	3	2	66%	3	3		
E4. Bone anti-resorptive or anabolic therapy (e.g. bisphosphonate, strontium ranelate, teriparatide, denosumab) in patients with documented osteoporosis, where no pharmacological or clinical status contraindication exists (Bone Mineral Density T-scores -> 2.5 in multiple sites) and/or previous history of fragility fracture(s)	2	1	50%	2	2		
E5. Vitamin D supplement in older people who are housebound or experiencing falls or with osteopenia (Bone Mineral Density T-score is $>$ -1.0 but $<$ -2.5 in multiple sites)	11	11	0%	10	10		
E6. Xanthine-oxidase inhibitors (e.g. allopurinol, febuxostat) with a history of recurrent episodes of gout	1	0	100%	0	-		
E7. Folic acid supplement in patients taking methotexate	0	-	-	1	1		
Total	19	14	26%	16	16		
Endocrine System							
F1. ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker (if intolerant of ACE inhibitor) in diabetes with evidence of renal disease i.e. dipstick proteinuria or microalbuminuria (>30mg/24 hours) with or without serum biochemical renal impairment	1	1	0%	2	2		
Endocrine System							
F1. ACE inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker (if intolerant of ACE inhibitor) in diabetes with evidence of renal disease i.e. dipstick proteinuria or microalbuminuria (>30mg/24 hours) with or without serum biochemical renal impairment	1	1	0%	2	2		

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

START criteria	Intervention			Control		
START Criteria		Discharge	Acceptance	Admission	Discharge	
Urogenital System						
G2. 5-alpha reductase inhibitor with symptomatic prostatism, where prostatectomy is not considered necessary	2	1	50%	0	-	
Analgesics						
H1. High-potency opioids in moderate-severe pain, where paracetamol, NSAIDs or low- potency opioids are not appropriate to the pain severity or have been ineffective	2	0	100%	1	0	
H2. Laxatives in patients receiving opioids regularly	2	1	50%	2	1	
Total	4	1	75%	3	1	
Vaccines						
I1. Seasonal trivalent influenza vaccine annually	7	6	14%	17	17	
I2. Pneumococcal vaccine at least once after age 65 according to national guidelines	14	13	7%	24	24	
Total	21	19	9.5%	41	41	
TOTAL / average of acceptance	73	52	29%	80	84	

way to patients admitted to an internal medicine ward. As with polypharmacy, we also report a high prevalence of potentially inappropriate medication (75.4%), which has been defined by the existence of at least one PIM and is in accordance to those reported by recent systematic reviews.6,7,19 We also observed that the use of pop-up recommendations reporting the application of these criteria within 72 houres of admission resulted in a significant reduction of the prevalence of potentially inappropriate medications and potential prescribing omissions, when compared with usual physician and pharmacist care. Despite the need for more robust studies, the systematic reviews mentioned previously suggest that these interventions might result in an improvement of medication appropriateness and clinical outcomes, such as the use of primary care services and the rate of hospital admissions, medication-related outcomes and quality of life.6,7

Regarding the acceptance rates of the recommendations for prescription changes they were lower than previously reported ones.<sup>20</sup> This could be interpreted as a result of potential methodological limitations – associated learning curve to the application of the criteria and understanding of the recommendations<sup>7</sup>, ineffective communication interface and/or inherent inertia from the home teams. On the other hand, we could also hypothesize that the reported results could be amplified after the improvement of these weaknesses and the criteria's application to a bigger sample.

The team did not observe a reduction in the number of medications or pills in neither of the groups. This could be a reflection of the complexity of polypharmacy, particularly the difficulty between achieving a balance between its harms and the increasing need of medications recommended by a growing body of guidelines, in an effort to better manage the multiple comorbidities prevalent among the elderly.<sup>2</sup>

The pharmacotherapeutic group most implicated in PIM was the class of benzodiazepines (both D5, G5 and K1, Table 2). Benzodiazepines have been broadly reported as an important public health issue in elderly care, due to its association

with serious adverse drug events as impaired cognitive function, delirium, respiratory insufficiency, falls and fall-related injuries, such as hip fractures.1 The presence of these PIM were reduced more in the intervention group than in the control, which seems to us to reinforce the need of a cared and systematized medication review, such as that aimed by the use of the STOPP/START version 2 criteria or other similar tools. Following benzodiazepines, the most frequent PIM was A1, regarding drugs prescribed without evidence-based indication, hence comprising a varied list of drugs that were either used without an objective presence of its proper indication (as happened mainly with anti-platelet therapy like aspirin or clopidogrel, antidementia agents, bronchodilators, betahistine, pentoxyfilline, benzodiazepines and anti-psychotics) or other drugs without supporting evidence as trimetazidine dihydrochloride, citicoline, and multiple vitamin supplements. Despite not having the highest acceptation rate this was the recommendation that result in the higher absolute number of drug discontinuation.

The most common PPO found were vaccines (influenza and pneumococcal) and vitamin D supplementation, for both of which we found no considerable difference in both groups between admission and discharge. While the former is probably explained by the fact that vaccination is usually a measure concerning primary care, the latter is based on the differences between the criteria and the national recommendations regarding the use of vitamin D supplements in the elderly.<sup>21</sup>

As mains limitations the team would point the small population, probably underpowering its results, and the methodological weaknesses already discussed above.

## Conclusion

Polypharmacy is an important issue regarding the healthcare of the elderly, and efforts to tackle this problem are becoming part of national and international recommendations and task forces. This was the first study evaluating the application of a deprescribing process in a Portuguese internal medicine ward. It found a high prevalence of polypharmacy and inappropriate prescription among Portuguese elderly patients admitted to an internal medicine ward that was significantly reduced by the use of pop-up recommendations reporting the application of STOPP/START version 2 criteria within 72 houres of admission, when compared with usual physician and pharmacist care. Considering its limitations and the need for more research, it will contribute to raise awareness for the problem of polypharmacy and be a starting point for further studies or clinical experiences on systematic deprescribing programs for the multi-faceted care of our elderly population.

#### Responsabilidades Éticas

Conflitos de Interesse: Os autores declaram a inexistência de conflitos de interesse na realização do presente trabalho.

Fontes de Financiamento: Não existiram fontes externas de financiamento para a realização deste artigo.

Confidencialidade dos Dados: Os autores declaram ter seguido os protocolos da sua instituição acerca da publicação dos dados de doentes. Proteção de Pessoas e Animais: Os autores declaram que os procedimentos seguidos estavam de acordo com os regulamentos estabelecidos pelos responsáveis da Comissão de Investigação Clínica e Ética e de acordo com a Declaração de Helsínquia da Associação Médica Mundial. Proveniência e Revisão por Pares: Não comissionado; revisão externa por pares.

#### **Ethical Disclosures**

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. Financing Support: This work has not received any contribution, grant or scholarship

Confidentiality of Data: The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their work center on the publication of data from patients.

Protection of Human and Animal Subjects: The authors declare that the procedures followed were in accordance with the regulations of the relevant clinical research ethics committee and with those of the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Provenance and Peer Review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© Autor (es) (ou seu (s) empregador (es)) 2019. Reutilização permitida de acordo com CC BY-NC. Nenhuma reutilização comercial.

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use.

### Correspondence / Correspondência:

Miguel Oliva Teles – migueloteles@gmail.com

Serviço de Medicina I, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Hospital Egas Moniz, Lisboa, Portugal Rua da Junqueira, 126, 1349-019 Lisboa

Received / Recebido: 15/01/2020 Accepted / Aceite: 28/02/2020

Publicado / Published: 27 de Junho de 2020

#### REFERENCES

- Motter FR, Fritzen JS, Hilmer SN, Paniz ÉV, Maria V, Paniz V. Potentially inappropriate medication in the elderly : a systematic review of validated explicit criteria. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2018;74:679-700. doi: 10.1007/ s00228-018-2446-0.
- Payne RA. The epidemiology of polypharmacy. Clin Med. 2016;16:465-9. doi: 10.7861/clinmedicine.16-5-465.
- Scott I a, Gray LC, Martin JH, Pillans PI, Mitchell C. Deciding when to stop: towards evidence-based deprescribing of drugs in older populations. Evid Based Med. 2013;18:121–4.
- Scott IA, Hilmer SN, Reeve E, Potter K, Le Couteur D, Rigby D, et al. Reducing inappropriate polypharmacy. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175:827-34. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0324.
- Reeve E, Shakib S, Hendrix I, Roberts MS, Wiese MD. The benefits and harms of deprescribing. Med J Aust. 2014;201:386–9.
- Rankin A, Ca C, Sm P, Kerse N, Cr C, Mc B, et al. Interventions to improve the appropriate use of polypharmacy for older people. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;9:CD008165. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD008165.pub4.
- Hill-Taylor B, Sketris I, Hayden J, Byrne S, O'Sullivan D, Christie R. Application of the STOPP/START criteria: A systematic review of the prevalence of potentially inappropriate prescribing in older adults, and evidence of clinical, humanistic and economic impact. J Clin Pharm Ther. 2013;38:360–72.
- O'Mahony D, O'Sullivan D, Byrne S, O'Connor MN, Ryan C, Gallagher P. STOPP/START criteria for potentially inappropriate prescribing in older people: version 2. Age Ageing. 2014;44:1–6.
- Guthrie B, Makubate B, Hernandez-santiago V, Dreischulte T. The rising tide of polypharmacy and drug-drug interactions : population database analysis 1995 – 2010. BMC Med. 2015;1–10.
- Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Haas JS, Chan AT, Giovannucci EL, Sloan M, et al. Trends in Prescription Drug Use among Adults in the United States from 1999-2012. JAMA. 2016;314:1818-31. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.13766.
- Kim H, Shin J, Kim M, Park B. Prevalence and Predictors of Polypharmacy among Korean Elderly. PLoS One. 2014;9:e98043. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0098043.
- Dong L, Yan H, Wang D. Polypharmacy and its correlates in village health clinics across 10 provinces of Western China. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2010;549–53.
- Passos LC. Factors associated with potentially inappropriate medication use by the elderly in the Brazilian primary care setting. Int J Clin Pharm. 2012;626–32.
- Hovstadius B, Hovstadius K, Åstrand B, Petersson G. Increasing polypharmacy - an individual-based study of the Swedish population 2005-2008. BMC Clin Pharmacol. 2010; 10:16. doi: 10.1186/1472-6904-10-16.
- Malva J, Llimos-Fernandez F. Case Study Summary Portugal. SIMPATHY 2019 [accessed Dec 2019]. Disponível em http://www.simpathy.eu/resources/case-studies/case-study-portugal
- Stewart D, Mair A, Wilson M, Kardas P, Alonso A, Mcintosh J, et al. Guidance to manage inappropriate polypharmacy in older people : systematic review and future developments. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2017;16:203-13. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2017.1265503.
- Scott IA, Hilmer SN, Reeve E, Potter K, Le Couteur D, Rigby D, et al. Reducing inappropriate polypharmacy: the process of deprescribing. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175:827-34. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0324.
- Wilson M, Mair A, Dreischulte T, Witham M. Prescribing to fit the needs of older people - the NHS Scotland Polypharmacy Guidance, 2nd edition. J R Coll Physicians Edinb. 2015;108–13.
- Thomas RE, Thomas BC. A Systematic Review of Studies of the STOPP / START 2015 and American Geriatric Society Beers 2015 Criteria in Patients ≥ 65 Years. Curr Aging Sci. 2019;12:121-54. doi: 10.2174/18746 09812666190516093742.
- Gallagher PF, O'Connor MN, O'Mahony D. Prevention of potentially inappropriate prescribing for elderly patients: a randomized controlled trial using STOPP/START criteria. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2011;89:845–54. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2011.44.
- Direção-Geral da Saúde. Norma de Orientação Clínica No 004/2019. Prevenção e Tratamento da Deficiência de Vitamina D. Lisboa: DGS; 2019.

## 154 Medicina Interna